

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,444	10/519,444 08/26/2005		Jochen Thomas	1431.123.101/FIN 393 PCT/ 2401	
45782	7590	10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
DICKE, BI	LLIG &	CZAJA, PLLC	CLARK, SHEILA V		
FIFTH STRE	ET TOW	'ERS	·		
100 SOUTH	FIFTH S	TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS. MN	55402	2823		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,444	THOMAS ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. V. Clark	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 17-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-16 and 23-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. *					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,444

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 5-16 and 23-27 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims may not depend on other multiply dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuttle et al.

Tuttle et al teaches in for example figure 1 an electronic component 10 having a multilayered rewiring carrier plate 28 and a magnetic memory chip 12 (see col.. 2 last two lines and col. 3, first two lines.). rewiring lines 18 connect the contact pads on the top surface of the chip to external contacts 20. Said rewiring plate has at least one patterned foil layer 29 made of amorphous metal (see last three lines of col. 4, and col.5, first 6 lines).

Since the claim recites "connects" but fails to specify an electrical or mechanical connection, said rewiring lines are deemed to be at least obviously mechanically connected to said pads.

With respect to claim 2, since said chip related to magnetic memory cells is discussed in col. 1, lines 14-25.

Art Unit: 2823

With respect to claim 3, said memory chip would be obviously deemed to be a logic device.

With regard to claim 4, col.5, line 7 teaches shielding foil thickness in the range of that recited in the claim.

Claims 1-4 are rejected.

Claims 17-22 are considered allowable over the prior art cited on interest.

PTO-892 contains prior art references having amorphous shield layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark at telephone number (571) 272-1725.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

October 1, 2006